
Egan, Pat

Speaker

Work frpat@avemariaradio.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed ND8 6/7/16
Emailed Ave Maria Radio on 2/24/16
emailed Vigneron on 2/27/16

Hofer, Theresa

Speaker

Work thofer@ctkcc.net

Work (734) 929-0971

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed Torchia 12/29/16
emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note about letter of complaint.
emailed Vigneron on 2/27/16
emailed proposals and ave maria letter on 2/24/16
left message on machine on 2/25/16

Hudson, Deal W.

Host

Work dhudson@avemariaradio.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes David, I will read this shortly and get back to you. Your note brought back a flood a wonderful memories about Father Clarke!
emailed Torchia 12/29/16
emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note
Emailed Vigneron 2/27/16
Emailed Ave Maria Radio on 2/24/16

Kresta, Al

President

Work nthomm@avemariaradio.net

Work akresta@avemariaradio.net

Mobile (734) 930-5200

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed Torchia 12/31/16
emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note
emailed Fetzer and note on 5/25/16
Emailed Vig letter 2/27/16
Emailed Ave Maria Radio on 2/24/16
emailed Fetzer on 5/25/16
Story Tip 3/22/16
emailed 1/30/16 News Tip with link to Academica article
emailed Open Letter to Fordham on 8/20/15
emailed Mueller 7/25/15
emailed Caritas 3/20/15
emailed dkroemer.com 12/6/14
sent on contact form on 8/17/12
sent podcast on 8/25/13

Loewe, Deacon Thomas

Director of Development

Work tom.e.lowe@gmail.com

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed Torchia 1/1/17
emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note
emailed Proposal and Ave Maria Letter 2/24/16

McDevitt, Sandra

Producer

Work smcdevitt@avemariaradio.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed Torchia 1/4/17
emailed ND8 6/14/16
Emailed Ave Maria Radio on 2/24/16

McGuigan, Barbara

Host

Work bmcguigan@avemariaradio.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note
Emailed Ave Maria Radio on 2/24/16

Nael, Cheryl

Office Manager

Work cnael@avemariaradio.net

Work 734-930-5201

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed Torchia 1/6/17
emailed ND8 6/14/16
Emailed Vigneron 2/27/16
Dear Cheryl,

I've attached three proposals that I submitted to the Princeton Club of New York. Just as Stephen Ray barred me from discussing the arguments for God's existence, Catholic Answers barred me from discussing the Shroud of Turin. My guess is that the Princeton Club will also reject my request to give a presentation on these matter because the club is probably run by atheists.

My guess is that Catholic Answers and Ave Maria don't want an intelligent conversation about religion because they are afraid people will lose their faith. Atheists, on the other hand, are emotionally incapable of discussing religion intelligently.

If I don't hear from Stephen Ray or Al Kresta soon, I'll be writing up my analysis of their motives and intellectual abilities. I won't do anything until I speak to you on the phone or I get an email from you.

emailed on 2/15/16Dear Cheryl,

I have not heard yet from Al Kresta or Stephen Ray. I may have mentioned in our telephone conversation that I am a member of the Princeton Club of New York and that I submitted a proposal to the Program Committee to give a presentation on my paper, "Why People Think God Caused the Big Bang." On Wednesday, I'll be seeing the program director about my proposal. My guess is that it will be rejected because most of the members of the club are atheists.

I can only speculate about what caused Stephen Ray to ban me from commenting on the topic I started about arguments for God's existence. One of his comments was that the argument based on free will is the same as the argument based on the Big Bang. In both arguments, according to Stephen Ray, you are explaining something by saying God exists.

In my posted comments, I quoted biologists to prove that the theory of intelligent design is irrational. In effect, I proved that the scientific arguments for God's existence are irrational. In Stephen Ray's mind, therefore, I proved that all arguments for God's existence are irrational. Maybe Mr. Ray shut me down and deleted the topic to keep from people losing their faith?

As I tried to explain over the phone, the human soul is spiritual and you can prove this. I have no respect for people who deny that the human soul is spiritual. This is one of the reasons I believe in Jesus: People who don't believe in life after death are ignorant, stupid, irrational, and dishonest with very few exceptions.

In Ray's mind, human beings have souls but you can't prove it. Ray thinks that people who deny human beings have souls have poor judgment. However, he respects their point of view. He calls it the "materialist world-view." I have a hundred reasons to believe and all of them are sound. Ray and his like have much less and many of those are unsound.

Popcak, Greg

Host

Work galpopcak@avemariaradio.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note
Emailed Ave Maria Radio on 2/24/16

Riccardo, John

Host

Work riccardoj@olgparish.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note
emailed Proposal and Open Letter on 2/24/16

Root, Henry

Operations Manager

Work hroot@avemariaradio.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note
emailed Vigneron on 2/27/16
Emailed Ave Maria Radio on 2/24/16

Stanton, Peggy

Host

Work pstanton@avemariaradio.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed ND8 6/14/16 with Note
Emailed Ave Maria Radio on 2/24/16

Thomm, Nick

Producer

Work nthomm@avemariaradio.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed Torchia 1/18/17
Ok
Sent from my iPhone
emailed ND8 6/14/16 with note

Upon information and belief, the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith wants me to correct American Catholics who promote scientific arguments for God's existence (see <http://www.newevangelist.me>). The observation that leads to God's existence is the I-Thou relationship that exist between human beings. This gives rise to the question: What is a human being? The following quote from an atheist sets forth the four possible answers to this question.

Among the traditional candidates for comprehensive understanding of the relation of mind to the physical world, I believe the weight of evidence favors some form of neutral monism over the traditional alternatives of materialism,

idealism, and dualism. (Thomas Nagel, Mind and Cosmos: Why the Materialist Neo-Darwinian Conception of Nature Is Almost Certainly False, location 69 of 1831)

There is nothing "traditional" about dualism. Dualism is just a bright idea from Descartes. "Neutral monism" is the theory supported by the evidence and judged to be true by rational people. There are a number of ways to express this:

- 1) Humans are embodied spirits or spirited bodies.
- 2) Humans are indefinabilities that become conscious of their existence.
- 3) The human soul is spiritual.
- 4) Humans are finite beings.
- 5) Humans are rational animals.

It #3 and #4 that is the basis of the argument for God's existence. There is no point in explaining the argument to someone who thinks that "human beings have souls." There is no evidence for this. It is just another way of expressing dualism. The reason a lot of people think the Big Bang and fine-tuning of constants is evidence of God's existence is that they think there is more evidence for dualism than for materialism. They don't understand that there is more evidence for materialism than dualism.

Very truly yours, David Roemer

Tomeo, Teresa

Host

Work ttomeo@att.net

Work Ave Maria Radio

Notes emailed Torchia 1/18/17

emailed ND8 6/14/16

emailed proposal and ave maria letter 2/24/16